

Structural Efficiency update

Bill Newton

9 June 2005



GPDV is a QIC accredited organisation

Divisions Review Report

- ensure that size and boundary alignment of divisions are not obstacles in adopting the directions recommended in this report;
- ensure that the size and boundary alignment of each division optimise its effectiveness and efficiency
- The Government response supports the review's view.

Issues (1)

- Poor match to boundaries of health and local govt services with which divisions work
- Poor match to data collection areas and population health statistics
- Too few or too many practices for optimum delivery of effective programs

Issues (2)

- **Low funding of small divisions**
 - **High ratio of admin/overheads to program activity**
 - **Low GP engagement because of limited services**
 - **Part-time management and staff**
 - **Limited training for directors**
 - **Undeveloped systems and internal processes**
 - **Little capacity to attract funding from state health departments**

Structural Efficiency (SEWG)

- 5 meetings 18 Jan to 7 June
- 2 Checklists for use of boards
 - To divisions in June
- Draft Report on divs' experiences of
 - Amalgamation
 - Boundary changes
 - Formal agreements
 - Joint work, shared staff, etc
- Discussion at state meetings & forums

Info/aids for divisions

- Options for change (or not)
- Checklists
- Summary of divisions' experiences with amalgamation and other options

Option 1: No change

- Division assesses itself as able to function effectively and deliver outcomes for members and DoHA
- No action required

Option 2: Boundaries

- Minor adjustments:
 - match local boundaries; remove shared postcodes;
- Substantial adjustments:
 - match other boundaries; possible reduction of large divisions to make neighbour(s) more viable and enable greater engagement with practices.

Option 3: Joint work

- with neighbour(s) or other organisations
 - Informal arrangements between neighbours
 - Formal agreement to share staff, programs and funds, or purchase services from each other.

Option 4: Amalgamation

- Division unlikely to deliver outcomes for members or DoHA
 - amalgamation with a single neighbour to make one viable division
 - amalgamation of several neighbours to create more viable divisions on different boundaries (eg four become three; three become two)

Checklists

- Self-assessment
- Boundary alignment

Self-assessment

- We are well placed to meet and report on the new national Performance Indicators
- We have the capacity to deliver local services to our GPs and community
- We are able to achieve accreditation by June 2008

Boundaries

- Our Divisional boundaries align with relevant local boundaries
- We have enough general practices and population to enable a wide range of programs and services to be delivered
- We have good linkages with other health service providers

Consultant's report

- Summary of divisions' experiences with amalgamation and other options
- Case studies
- Contact lists